

TCOMPOSITE WEAK BOSONS, LEPTONS AND QUARKS

■ HARALD FRITZSCH

University of Munich
Faculty of Physics,
Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics,

Abstract

The weak bosons consist of two fermions, bound by a new confining gauge force. The mass scale of this new interaction is determined. At energies below 0.5 TeV the standard electroweak theory is valid. A neutral isoscalar weak boson X must exist - its mass is less than 1 TeV. It will decay mainly into quark and lepton pairs and into two or three weak bosons. Above the mass of 1 TeV one finds excitations of the weak bosons, which mainly decay into pairs of weak bosons. Leptons and quarks consist of a fermion and a scalar. Pairs of leptons and pairs of quarks form resonances at very high energy.

In the Standard Model the leptons, quarks and weak bosons are pointlike particles. I shall assume that they are composite particles with a finite size. The present limit on the size of the electron, the muon and of the light quarks is about 10^{-17} cm.

The constituents of the weak bosons and of the leptons and quarks are bound by a new confining gauge interaction. Due to the parity violation in the weak interactions this theory must be a chiral gauge theory, unlike quantum chromodynamics.

The Greek translation of "simple" is "haplos". We denote the constituents as "haplons" and the new confining gauge theory as quantum haplodynamics (QHD). The QHD mass scale is given by a mass parameter Λ_h , which determines the sizes and the masses of the weak bosons. It must be very large, at least thousand times larger than the QCD mass scale Λ_c . A theory of this type was proposed in 1981 (ref.(1), see also ref.(2-6)).

Two types of lefthanded spin $\frac{1}{2}$ haplons are needed as constituents of the weak bosons, denoted by α and β . The doublet h of the weak isospin group $SU(2)$ is given by the two lefthanded haplon fields:

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

The three weak bosons have the following internal structure:

$$\begin{aligned} W^+ &= \bar{\beta}\alpha \\ W^- &= \bar{\alpha}\beta \\ W^3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{\alpha}\alpha - \bar{\beta}\beta) . \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We expect that in a composite model the structure of the spectral functions of the weak currents is similar to the structure of the spectral functions

in hadronic physics. At an energy of the order of Λ_c the spectral functions of the hadronic currents are dominated by the ρ -mesons. At energies much larger than Λ_c the spectral functions are given by the continuum of quark-antiquark states. Analogously we expect that at the energy of the order Λ_h the spectral functions of the weak currents are dominated by the weak bosons. At energies much larger than Λ_h the spectral functions are given by the continuum of haplon pairs.

In strong interaction physics the universality of the couplings of the ρ -mesons to the hadrons follows from the current algebra and the dominance of the matrix elements of the vector currents by the ρ -mesons. In the Standard Model the universality of the weak coupling constants is due to the gauge invariance. In a composite model of the weak bosons it follows from the algebra of the weak currents and the dominance of the weak currents by the weak bosons (ref.(3)).

In the absence of electromagnetism and the quark masses the three ρ -mesons are degenerate in mass. If the electromagnetic interaction is introduced, the neutral ρ -meson changes its mass due to a mixing with the photon. The mass shift, caused by this mixing, can be calculated. It depends on a mixing parameter μ , which is determined by the electric charge, the decay constant F_ρ and the mass of the ρ -meson:

$$\mu = e \frac{F_\rho}{M_\rho}. \quad (3)$$

One finds for the mass difference between the charged and neutral ρ -mesons:

$$M(\rho^0)^2 - M(\rho^+)^2 = M_{\rho^+}^2 \left(\frac{\mu^2}{1 - \mu^2} \right). \quad (4)$$

The decay constant is measured to about 220 MeV, which gives $\mu \approx 0.09$. The mass shift due to the mixing is about 3.1 MeV.

Analogously in QHD the three weak bosons are degenerate in mass in the absence of electromagnetism. If the electromagnetic interaction is introduced, the mass of the neutral boson increases due to the mixing with the photon. The mixing between the neutral weak boson and the photon is caused by the dynamics, like the mixing between the photon and the neutral ρ -meson in QCD. It is described by a mixing parameter m , which is determined by the decay constant of the weak boson F_W , defined in analogy to the decay constant of the ρ -meson in QCD (ref.(3)):

$$\langle 0 \left| \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\alpha} \gamma_\mu \alpha - \bar{\beta} \gamma_\mu \beta) \right| Z \rangle = \varepsilon_\mu M_W F_W . \quad (5)$$

In the Standard Model the mixing is described by the weak mixing angle. In QHD the mixing is a dynamical phenomenon, and the mixing parameter m is given by the decay constant of the W -boson F_W :

$$m = e \frac{F_W}{M_W} . \quad (6)$$

In the Standard Model the mixing parameter m is given by the weak mixing angle (ref.(3)):

$$\sin \theta_w = m . \quad (7)$$

The mass difference between the Z -boson and the W -boson is determined by the mixing parameter m and the W -mass:

$$M_Z^2 - M_W^2 = M_W^2 \left(\frac{m^2}{1 - m^2} \right) . \quad (8)$$

Using the experimental values for the weak boson masses and the weak mixing angle, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} F_W &= 124 \text{ GeV} \\ m &= 0.482 . \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

In strong interaction physics the decay constant of the ρ -meson and the QCD mass parameter Λ_c are proportional. The decay constant of the ρ -meson is measured to about 220 MeV. The QCD mass parameter Λ_c has also been measured: 217 ± 25 MeV. By accident both parameters are about equal. We expect a similar connection between the decay constant of the weak boson and the QHD mass parameter Λ_h . If the QHD gauge group would be SU(3), the ratio of Λ_h and Λ_c would be given by the measured ratio of the decay constants:

$$\frac{\Lambda_h}{\Lambda_c} \approx \frac{F_W}{F_\rho} \simeq 564. \tag{10}$$

In that case Λ_h would be 0.122 TeV. The actual value of Λ_h depends on details of the gauge group, but it should be less than 1 TeV. Thus the mass scales of QCD and QHD differ by about three orders of magnitude. The leptons, quarks and weak bosons have a size of about 10^{-17} cm. At energies below 1 TeV the standard electroweak theory is a very good approximation, but above 1 TeV it will break down.

If the weak bosons consist of the two haplons α and β , there must exist a second neutral weak boson, which is an isoscalar and has the internal structure:

$$X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\alpha}\alpha + \bar{\beta}\beta) . \tag{11}$$

This boson is not present in the Standard Model - it will be denoted by X . Its mass must be much larger than the mass of the Z -boson. The present lower limit on the mass of the X -boson is about 0.8 TeV (see also ref.(7)).

In strong interaction physics the mass of the ρ -meson and of the ω -meson are nearly the same. One would expect that the mass of the X -boson is about the same as the mass of the Z -boson, but this is excluded by the experiments. The fact that the X -boson must be much heavier than the Z -boson might be related to the QHD analogy of the gluonic anomaly of QCD. The latter implies that the mass of the η' -meson is different from zero in the chiral limit, while the masses of the π -mesons and of the η -meson vanish.

In QHD the isospin singlet axial vector current also has an anomaly, and this might be the reason why the X -boson is very heavy. The theory of QHD is a confining chiral gauge theory, and low mass pseudoscalar bosons do not exist. The anomalous divergence of the singlet axial vector current might increase the mass of the X -boson. But details about the dynamics of chiral gauge theories are not yet known. For our further discussion we shall assume a mass of 0.8 TeV for the X -boson.

The X -boson would couple to the leptons and quarks with the same strength as the Z -boson, since they consist of the same constituents. Thus it can easily be produced at the LHC by quark-antiquark-fusion. The cross section for the production of Z -bosons at the LHC is estimated to about 60 nb. If the X -boson has a mass of 0.8 TeV, we can determine the cross section for its production at the LHC. It should be about 0.8 nb.

An important decay mode of the X -boson is the decay into lepton pairs, e.g. into muon pairs. The partial width for this decay can be estimated by comparing it with the decay of a charged weak boson into a muon and the muon antineutrino, which has a partial width of about 226 MeV. Using this result, we can calculate the partial width for the leptonic decay of the X -boson with a mass of 0.8 TeV:

$$\Gamma(X \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \approx 2.25 \text{ GeV}. \quad (12)$$

The X -boson will decay primarily into lepton pairs and quark pairs. We expect the following relations to hold between the branching fractions of the various decays:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Br(X \rightarrow e^+e^-) &\cong Br(X \rightarrow \nu_e\nu_e) \\
 Br(X \rightarrow \nu_e\nu_e) &\cong Br(X \rightarrow \nu_\mu\nu_\mu) \cong Br(X \rightarrow \nu_\tau\nu_\tau) \\
 Br(X \rightarrow e^+e^-) &\cong Br(X \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \cong Br(X \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) \\
 3Br(X \rightarrow e^+e^-) &\cong Br(X \rightarrow \bar{u}u) \cong Br(X \rightarrow \bar{d}d) \\
 Br(X \rightarrow \bar{u}u) &\cong Br(X \rightarrow \bar{c}c) \cong Br(X \rightarrow \bar{t}t) \\
 Br(X \rightarrow \bar{d}d) &\cong Br(X \rightarrow \bar{s}s) \cong Br(X \rightarrow \bar{b}b).
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The X -boson can also decay into weak bosons. The decay rate into a pair of weak bosons should be similar to the decay rate into muons:

$$\Gamma(X \rightarrow W^+W^-) \cong \Gamma(X \rightarrow ZZ) \simeq \Gamma(X \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-). \tag{14}$$

For the decays of the X - boson into three and four weak bosons the following relations for the partial widths are expected:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma(X \rightarrow W^+W^-Z) &\cong \Gamma(X \rightarrow ZZZ) \\
 \Gamma(X \rightarrow W^+W^-W^+W^-) &\cong \Gamma(X \rightarrow W^+W^-ZZ) \\
 \Gamma(X \rightarrow W^+W^-W^+W^-) &\cong \Gamma(X \rightarrow ZZZZ).
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We introduce the parameters a and b :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma(X \rightarrow W^+W^-Z) &= a \cdot \Gamma(X \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \\
 \Gamma(X \rightarrow W^+W^-W^+W^-) &= b \cdot \Gamma(X \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-).
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The parameters "a" and "b" are expected to be smaller than one. As an example we set $a=0.5$, $b=0.3$ and estimate the total width of the X -boson. There are three decay channels for the charged leptons, three channels for the neutrinos and 18 channels for the quark-antiquark pairs (including the color degree of freedom). The decays into weak bosons are added, using the parameters above. Other decays of the X - boson are expected to be small and are neglected. Then we find for the total width:

$$\Gamma(X \rightarrow all) \approx 63 \text{ GeV}. \quad (17)$$

The Z -boson has a width of 2.5 GeV - thus the width of the X -boson is about 25 times larger.

The best way to observe the X - boson in the collisions at the LHC is to find the decays into muon pairs and into electron-positron pairs. Once it has been found, one can search for the decays into quark-antiquark pairs. Two narrow quark jets should be observed with an invariant mass given by the mass of the X -boson.

The QHD mass scale Λ_h is three orders of magnitude larger than the QCD mass scale Λ_c . In strong interaction physics complexities arise at the energy of 1 GeV and above. Analogously there should be complexities due to the QHD dynamics at the energy of 1 TeV and above, and the standard electroweak theory breaks down.

In strong interaction physics there exist excited states of the vector mesons. Analogously we expect excited states of the weak bosons, with masses of the order of 1 TeV and higher. The first excited state of a charged weak boson can decay into a charged weak boson and a Z -boson or a photon. The first excited state of a Z -boson will decay mainly into two weak bosons. Decays of the excited weak bosons into quark pairs or leptons pairs are expected to be suppressed.

The weak bosons couple universally to the leptons and quarks, as the ρ -mesons to the nucleons. Inside the ρ -meson are the same quarks as inside the nucleons, and this leads to the universality of the coupling parameters. Analogously we expect from the universality of the weak coupling parameters that inside a lepton and quark the haplons α and β are also present. A bound state model of the weak bosons requires that the leptons and quarks are also composite systems.

The simplest model of composite leptons and quarks is the one discussed in ref.(4). Besides the fermions α and β four scalar haplons are needed, one scalar for the leptons, denoted by l , and three scalars for the three colors of the quarks, denoted by r , g and b :

$$h(\text{fermion}) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

$$h(\text{scalar}) = (l, r, g, b). \quad (19)$$

Both the fermions and the scalars transform according to the fundamental representation of the QHD gauge group. Thus bound states of the fermions and the scalars exist - the lowest states would be the observed leptons and quarks. The leptons have the following internal structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= (\alpha l) \\ e^- &= (\beta l) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The structure of the up and down quarks (with red color) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (\alpha r) \\ d &= (\beta r) \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

In such a model the first generation of leptons and quarks would be described by the ground states of the fermion-scalar bound states, the second and third generation must be dynamical excitations. The electron is the ground state of the charged leptons, the muon and the tau-lepton are excitations. We expect that the muon will decay into an electron and a photon. Likewise the u-quark is the ground state of the up-quarks, the c-quark and the t-quarks are excitations.

Compared to the QHD mass scale Λ_h the masses of the observed leptons and quarks are essentially zero. The number of nearly massless bound states, i.e. the number of generations, could be related to the rank of the QHD gauge group. Three generations might be obtained, if the gauge group is $SU(3)$.

The cross section in proton-proton collisions for exciting the QHD degrees of freedom can be estimated as follows. The size of the proton is about 1 Fermi. The inelastic cross section is about 60 mb. The size of a quark is about 0.001 Fermil. Thus the cross section for exciting the QHD degrees of freedom in quark-quark-collisions is about 60 nb. In the proton there are three quarks and many gluons. We estimate the cross section for exciting the QHD degrees of freedom in high energy proton-proton collisions to about 600 nb.

Of particular interest for the LHC is the scattering of a quark and the corresponding antiquark. If these quarks collide, the scalars inside the quark or antiquark collide and can form a resonance. This resonance, formed e.g. by the collision of red scalar and its antiparticle, can decay into a leptonic scalar and its antiparticle. This scalar will form together with the fermion a lepton, e.g. a muon. Thus the quark and antiquark disappear, and a muon and its antiparticle are produced, with an invariant mass, given by the mass

of the resonance. Likewise an electron and a positron can be produced, or a tau-lepton and its antiparticle. The mass of the first resonance of this type should be at about 1 TeV.

If our model is correct, the first signal of the new substructure of the weak bosons will be observed soon at the Large Hadron Collider at CERN - the discovery of the X -boson.

References

- [1] H. Fritzsch and G. Mandelbaum, Phys. Lett. B102 (1981) 319;
Phys. Lett. B 109 (1982) 224;
X. Calmet and H. Fritzsch, Phys. Lett. B496 (2000), 161.
- [2] R. Barbieri, R. Mohapatra and A. Masiero, Phys. Lett. B 105 (1981) 369.
- [3] H. Fritzsch, R. Kogerler and D. Schildknecht, Phys. Lett. B 114 (1982) 157.
- [4] L. F. Abbott and E. Farhi, Phys. Lett. B 101, 69 (1981).
- [5] T. Kugo, S. Uehara and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B 147, 321 (1984).
- [6] S. Uehara and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B 165, 94 (1985).
- [7] U. Baur and K. H. Schwarzer, Phys. Lett. B 180, 163 (1986).